Magarey : modèle d'infection pour les maladies foliaires

François Brun (ACTA)

Objectifs du cours

- L'infection dans le développement d'une maladie
- Connaissances et expérimentations sur l'infection
- Description du modèle de Magarey
- Utilisation du modèle

exemple de cycle (*P. infestans*)

Le cycle de base d'une maladie

Germination, pénétration et développement

- Illustration (*Phytophthora infestans* sur la pomme de terre)

Phytophthora infestans

Source : Verreet and Klink, 2013

Copyright © 2006 Nature Publishing Group
Nature Reviews | Microbiology

germination

pénétration

développement

contamination=infection

Figures 1.3 H, I, J Symptômes au champ, les plantes attaquées sont noirâtres, comme si elles étaient brûlées (Photographies : R. Corbière – Inra –Le Rheu). Rakotonindraina, 2012

Infection = fonction de l'environnement

germination

= fonction du Microclimat

- Température
- durée d'humectation

Phytophthora infestans

Source : Verreet and Klink, 2013

Pénétration

- Plante hôte / non hôte
- Signaux plantes,...

Expérimentations sur les conditions d'infections

Enceinte climatique

1) Inoculation artificielle à $t=0$

pour T (température)
et W (durée d'humectation)

Enceinte climatique

2) Observation à t

Estimation de l'infection à différentes combinaisons de température et d'humidité.

avec infection :

- 20% de feuilles symptôme (incidence)
- ou 5% de surface avec symptôme (sévérité)

Expérimentations de la littérature

TABLE 1. Methodology of published studies relating fungal infection to temperature and wetness duration

Pathogen	Host ^b	Reference	Temp. range (°C) ^a		Tested wetness durations (h)	Disease assessment	
			Min.	Max.		Value ^c	Units ^d
<i>Albugo occidentalis</i>	Spinach	81	6	28	0,3,6,12,36,48,60,72,84	0.05	Severity
<i>Alternaria brassicae</i>	Oilseed rape	38	6	25	2,4,6,8,12,24	0.20	Incidence
<i>Alternaria cucumerina</i>	Muskmelon	31	12	30	2,4,8,12,16,24	0.05	Severity
<i>Alternaria mali</i>	Apple	32	4	36	2,4,6,12,18,24,36,48	0.02	Severity
<i>Alternaria porri</i>	Onion	80	5	25	2,4,8,12,16,20,24	2.00	Lesions per plant
<i>Alternaria</i> sp.	Mineola tangelo	18	17	32	4,8,12,24,36	5.00	Lesions per leaf
<i>Ascochyta rabiei</i>	Chickpea	84	5	30	3,6,12,24,48,96	0.25	Severity
<i>Bipolaris oryzae</i>	Rice	59	10	36	10,12,14,....,20,24,28,36	1.00	Lesions/cm ²
<i>Botryosphaeria dothidea</i>	Apple fruit	58	8	28	2,4,8,12,16,20,24,36,48	0.20	Incidence
<i>Botryosphaeria obtusa</i>	Apple fruit	7	8	32	2,4,8,12,16,....,44	Light	Category
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	Grape	56	12	30	4,8,12,16,20	0.20	Incidence
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	Strawberry flowers	15	5	30	2,4,5,8,10,15,16,20,24	0.2	Incidence
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	Grape flowers	56	5	30	1,2,4,6,8,10,12,18,24	0.20	Incidence
<i>Botrytis squamosa</i>	Onion	82	6	28	6,9,12,15,18,21,24,48	0.20	Incidence of sites
<i>Bremia lactucae</i>	Lettuce	67	5	30	2,4,6,12,24	0.10	Severity
<i>Cercospora arachidicola</i>	Peanut	93	18	30	12, 24,48,....,96 ^e	1.00	Lesions per leaf
<i>Cercospora carotae</i>	Carrot	20	16	32	12, 24,48,72,96	0.20	Proportion ^f
<i>Cercosporidium personatum</i>	Peanut	17	13	30	4,8,12,16	ND	Lesion density
<i>Coccomyces hiemalis</i>	<i>Prunus</i> sp.	28	8	28	4–70, undefined	14.0	Rating
<i>Colletotrichum acutatum</i>	Strawberry fruit	92	6	30	0.5,1,2,4,6,8,24,36,48, 51	0.20	Incidence
<i>Colletotrichum orbiculare</i>	Watermelon	53	12	30	2,4,8,12,16,24	0.20	Incidence
<i>Didymella arachidicola</i>	Peanut	79	15	35	24,48,72,...., 184	0.05	Severity
<i>Diplocarpon earlianum</i>	Strawberry	98	10	30	6,12,18,....,36	1.0	Lesions/cm ²
<i>Guignardia bidwellii</i>	Grape	76	10	32	0.5,1,1.5,, 48	Light	Category
<i>Gymnosporangium juniperi-virginianae</i>	Apple	4	2	24	Variable	Any	Infection
<i>Leptosphaeria maculans</i>	Oilseed rape	12	8	24	4,8,16,20,24,30,48,72	0.20	Incidence
<i>Melampsora medusae</i>	Poplar	50	8	31	1,2,3,....,24	0.20	Incidence
<i>Monilinia fructicola</i>	Prunus fruit	13	15	30	6,9,12,15,18	0.20	Incidence
<i>Mycosphaerella pinodes</i>	Pea	61	5	25	2,4,6,8,24,48,72	1.00	Severity rating
<i>Phakopsora pachyrhizi</i>	Soybean	48	6	30	1,2,3,...., 12	0.20	Rating
<i>Phytophthora cactorum</i>	Apple fruit	36	6	30	1,2,3,4,5	0.20	Incidence
<i>Phytophthora cactorum</i>	Strawberry fruit	37	5	28	3,6,12,24	0.20	Incidence
<i>Phytophthora infestans</i>	Potato	62	5	30	2,5,8,11,14	1.00	Rating
<i>Plasmopara viticola</i>	Grape	43	5	28	2,6,12,24	0.20	Incidence
<i>Psuedoperonospora cubensis</i>	Cucumber	23	10	30	ND	1.00	Rating
<i>Puccinia arachidis</i>	Peanut	16	5	27	1,2,4,6,12,24	0.20	Rating
<i>Puccinia menthae</i>	Peppermint	27	10	30	6,12,24,36,48	0.05	Severity
<i>Puccinia psidii</i>	Eucalyptus	63	5	25	1,2,4,6,8,16,25	4.2	Lesions/cm ²
<i>Puccinia recondita</i>	Wheat	85	5	18	1,2,....,12,16,20,24	0.20	Proportion ^f
<i>Puccinia striiformis</i>	Wheat	24	5	25	1,2,4,6,8,16,24	0.20	Incidence
<i>Puccinia striiformis</i>	Wheat	85	4	20	6,10,16,24	0.20	Proportion ^f
<i>Pyrenopeziza brassicae</i>	Oilseed rape	34	10	25	Hourly	0.20	Incidence
<i>Pyrenophora teres</i>	Barley	11	4	18	Variable	ND	Presence or absence
<i>Pyrenophora teres</i>	Barley	72	10	25	1,2,4,6,9,12,24,36,48	0.05	Lesions per spore
<i>Rhynchosporium secalis</i>	Barley	94	7	30	1,2,3,,14,19,24,29,34,44	20.0	Rating
<i>Rhynchosporium secalis</i>	Barley	65	10	30	24,48,72,....,216	0.05	Severity
<i>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</i>	Beans	91	15	30	6,12,18,24,36	0.05	Severity
<i>Septoria glycines</i>	Soybean	70	1	26	ND	0.01	Severity
<i>Venturia inaequalis</i>	Apple	78	7	24	7,9,11,...., 29	Light	Category
<i>Venturia pirina</i>	Pear	77	4	26	7,8,9,....,17,23,27,28,29	0.2	Incidence
<i>Venturia pirina</i>	Pear	87	5	25	12,24,36,48	0.2	Incidence
<i>Wilsonomyces carpophilus</i>	Almond	71	...	...	...	50.0	Lesions per plant

^a Tested temperature range (°C); Min. = minimum and Max. = maximum.

^b Foliage unless otherwise noted.

^c ND = not defined or not clear from text.

^d Threshold disease intensity value at which observations in the study were classified as infected.

^e Wet periods in 12-h increments per 24 h.

^f Proportion of maximum lesion number.

Expérimentations de la littérature

Gramme de T Gamme de W
(température) (durée d'humectation)

TABLE 1. Methodology of published studies relating fungal infection to temperature and wetness duration

Pathogen	Host ^b	Reference	Temp. range (°C) ^a		Tested wetness durations (h)	Disease assessment	
			Min.	Max.		Value ^c	Units ^d
<i>Albugo occidentalis</i>	Spinach	81	6	28	0,3,6,12,36,48,60,72,84	0.05	Severity
<i>Alternaria brassicae</i>	Oilseed rape	38	6	25	2,4,6,8,12,24	0.20	Incidence
<i>Alternaria cucumerina</i>	Muskmelon	31	12	30	2,4,8,12,16,24	0.05	Severity
<i>Alternaria mali</i>	Apple	32	4	36	2,4,6,12,18,24,36,48	0.02	Severity
<i>Alternaria porri</i>	Onion	80	5	25	2,4,8,12,16,20,24	2.00	Lesions per plant

Variable mesurée
et seuil

Exemple de données

Tavelure du Pommier

Source : <http://www7.inra.fr/hyp3>

Interprétation à partir de nos connaissances

Formalisme proposé par Magarey

$$W = \frac{W_{\min}}{f(T)} \text{ if } T_{\min} \leq T \leq T_{\max} \quad (1)$$
$$= W_{\max} \text{ otherwise}$$

$$f(T) = \left(\frac{T_{\max} - T}{T_{\max} - T_{opt}} \right) \left(\frac{T - T_{\min}}{T_{opt} - T_{\min}} \right)^{(T_{opt} - T_{\min}) / (T_{\max} - T_{opt})} \quad (2)$$

Simulation

Venturia inaequalis

tavelure pommier

Tmin=1

Tmax=35

Topt= 20

Wmin=6

Wmax=40.5

Estimation des paramètres à partir des données

... pour de nombreuses maladies

TABLE 2. Infection model parameters and statistical comparison between model predictions and observations based on published studies relating fungal infection to temperature and wetness duration

Pathogen	Host ^a	Ref. ^b	Ref.	$T_{\min}^d$	$T_{\max}^e$	T_{opt}^f	$W_{\min}^g$	$W_{\max}^h$	Obs ⁱ	r^j	RMS ^k	SRMS ^l
			$T_{\min}^c$									
<i>Albugo occidentalis</i>	Spinach	81	...	6	28	16	3	12	12	0.87	2.8	0.9
<i>Alternaria brassicae</i>	Oilseed rape	38	6	2.6	35	18	6	22	9	0.96	4.0	0.7
<i>Alternaria cucumerina</i>	Muskmelon	31	...	12	25	19	8	24	6	0.98	1.6	0.2
<i>Alternaria mali</i>	Apple	32	...	1	35	23	5	40	16	0.88	5.2	1.0
<i>Alternaria porri</i>	Onion	80	...	1	35	23	8	24	5	1.00	0.7	0.1
<i>Alternaria</i> sp.	Mineola tangelo	18	...	9.4	35	25	8	16	5	0.90	1.3	0.2
<i>Ascochyta rabiei</i>	Chick pea	84	...	1	35	25	12	48	6	0.10	19.2	1.6
<i>Bipolaris oryzae</i>	Rice	59	25	8	35	27.5	10	24	6	0.78	5.0	0.5
<i>Botryosphaeria dothidea</i>	Apple fruit	58	...	8	35	28	8	19	6	0.95	1.6	0.2
<i>Botryosphaeria obtuse</i>	Apple fruit	7	...	1	35	26	5	40	7	0.97	3.2	0.6
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	Grape	56	57	10	35	20	4	10	11	0.94	0.8	0.2
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	Strawberry flower	15	...	5	35	25	8	18	7	0.13	5.0	0.6
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	Grape flower	56	57	1	34	25	1	12	6	0.99	0.6	0.6
<i>Botrytis squamosa</i>	Onion	82	...	1	28	18	15	24	8	0.50	4.7	0.3
<i>Bremia lactucae</i>	Lettuce	67	...	1	25	15	4	10	6	0.98	0.8	0.2
<i>Cercospora arachidicola</i>	Peanut	93	6	13.3	35	24	24	48	5	0.72	8.9	0.4
<i>Cercospora carotae</i>	Carrot	20	...	11	32	24	28	96	5	0.98	16.5	0.6
<i>Cercosporidium personatum</i>	Peanut	17	6	8	35	20	16	33	6	0.33	6.0	0.4
<i>Coccomyces hiemalis</i>	<i>Prunus</i> sp.	28	29	4	30	18	5	30	11	0.96	7.8	1.6
<i>Colletotrichum acutatum</i>	Strawberry fruit	92	...	7	35	27.5	6	36	6	0.93	4.4	0.7
<i>Colletotrichum orbiculare</i>	Watermelon	53	...	7	30	24	2	16	7	0.69	5.6	2.8
<i>Didymella arachidicola</i>	Peanut	79	6	13.3	35	18.5	24	210	5	-0.10	55.5	2.3
<i>Diplocarpon earlianum</i>	Strawberry	98	51	2.9	35	22.5	12	18	5	0.53	3.2	0.3
<i>Didymella bryoniae</i>	Cucumber	76	...	7	35	27	6	24	10	0.74	5.1	0.8

Utilisation

- Utilisation des données météos pour déterminer si les conditions journalières sont propices à l'infection ou pas.
 - T_j : température du jour
 - W_j : nombre d'heure d'humecation

Si $W_j \geq W_{\text{seuil}}$, **infection**

Si $W_j < W_{\text{seuil}}$, ~~infection~~

Dynamique des infections

Exemple, station
météo avec
enregistrement
Tmoy et Durée
d'humectation

Dynamique des infections

- Simulation des besoins en durée d'humectation

- jours propices à l'infection
besoin < observation

proportion de jours avec infection possible

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

infection

FALSE

TRUE

MOIS

Utilisation pour analyse de risque

- Risque invasif : est-ce que les conditions météorologiques d'un endroit permettent l'infection d'une maladie pas encore présente ?

Conclusion

- **Connaissances et données**
 - Formulation d'une équation ayant un sens biologique
 - utilisation de données pour calibrer la relation
- **Utilisations**
 - Pour déterminer les jours propices à l'infection
 - Pour l'analyse de risque (invasif)
 - Combiné à d'autres formalismes dans des modèles plus complets
- **Voir les exposés**
 - Analyse des risques d'invasions biologiques et place de l'expertise.
 - Analyse d'incertitude et de sensibilité.

référence

Magarey, R. D., Sutton, T. B., and Thayer, C. L. 2005. A simple generic infection model for foliar fungal plant pathogens. *Phytopathology*. 95:92-100.

Ecology and Epidemiology

A Simple Generic Infection Model for Foliar Fungal Plant Pathogens

R. D. Magarey, T. B. Sutton, and C. L. Thayer

Department of Plant Pathology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh 27696.

Current address of R. D. Magarey: Center for Plant Health Science and Technology, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Raleigh, NC 27606.

Accepted for publication 1 September 2004.

ABSTRACT

Magarey, R. D., Sutton, T. B., and Thayer, C. L. 2005. A simple generic infection model for foliar fungal plant pathogens. *Phytopathology* 95:92-100.

In this study, a simple generic infection model was developed for predicting infection periods by fungal foliar pathogens. The model is designed primarily for use in forecasting pathogens that do not have extensive epidemiological data. Most existing infection models require a background epidemiological data set, usually including laboratory estimates of infection at multiple temperature and wetness combinations. The model developed in this study can use inputs based on subjective estimates of the cardinal temperatures and the wetness duration requirement. These inputs are available for many pathogens or may be estimated from related pathogens. The model uses a temperature response function which is scaled to the minimum and optimum values of the surface wetness duration requirement. The minimum wetness duration requirement (W_{min}) is the number of hours required to produce 20% disease incidence or 5% disease severity on inoculated plant parts at a given temperature. The

model was validated with published data from 53 controlled laboratory studies, each with at least four combinations of temperature and wetness. Validation yielded an average correlation coefficient of 0.83 and a root mean square error of 4.9 h, but there was uncertainty about the value of the input parameters for some pathogens. The value of W_{min} varied from 1 to 48 h and was relatively uniform for species in the genera *Cercospora*, *Alternaria*, and *Puccinia* but less so for species of *Phytophthora*, *Venturia*, and *Colletotrichum*. Operationally, infection models may use hourly or daily weather inputs. In the case of the former, information also is required to estimate the critical dry-period interruption value, defined as the duration of a dry period at relative humidities <95% that will result in a 50% reduction in disease compared with a continuous wetness period. Pathogens were classified into three groups based on their critical dry-period interruption value. The infection model is being used to create risk maps of exotic pests for the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Animal Plant Health and Inspection Service.

Additional keyword: risk assessment.